# SEPARABLE  $L_1$  PREDUALS ARE QUOTIENTS OF  $C(\Delta)$

BY

W. B. JOHNSON<sup>†</sup> AND M. ZIPPIN

#### **ABSTRACT**

It is proved that every separable predual space of an  $L_1$  space is a quotient space of  $C(\Delta)$ .

# 1. Introduction

Recently Benyamini and Lindenstrauss [1] gave an example of a separable  $L_1$ predual which is not isomorphic to a complemented subspace of any *C(K)* space. Thus the result proved here—that is, if X is a separable Banach space with  $X^*$ isometric to  $L_1(\mu)$  for some measure  $\mu$ , then X is isometric to a quotient space of the continuous real-valued functions on the Cantor set--this result is the best possible for relating general separable  $L_1$  preduals to  $C(K)$  spaces. However, the more interesting problem of whether every separable  $\mathscr{L}_{\infty}$  space is isomorphic to a quotient of a  $C(K)$  space, remains open (refer to [3]).

One immediate application of our theorem and results of Pelczyfiski [5] and Rosenthal  $[6]$  we can state in a corollary.

**COROLLARY.** Suppose X is an  $L_1$  predual and T is a non-weakly compact *operator from X into some Banach space Y.* 

(i) There is a subspace W of X isomorphic to  $c_0$  and such that the restriction *of T to W is an isomorphism.* 

(ii) If X is separable but  $T^*Y^*$  is non-separable, then there is a subspace Z *of X isomorphic to*  $C(\Delta)$  *such that the restriction of* T to Z is an isomorphism.

We use standard Banach space theory notation. As is customary in the study of

**f Johnson's** work was supported **in part by NSF** GP-33578.

Received August 6, 1973

 $L_1$  preduals, we consider real Banach spaces only so as to avoid purely technical complications that arise in the complex case.

## **2. The construction**

It is known that if X is an  $L_1$  predual then X is the completion of the union of subspaces  $E_1 \subseteq E_2 \subseteq \cdots$  with  $E_n$  isometric to  $\binom{n}{\infty}$ . (Refer to [2] and [4].) Further, bases  $(e_i^n)_{i=1}^n$  for  $E_n$  may be chosen so that, for each n and i,

(1) 
$$
e_i^n = e_i^{n+1} + \beta_i^n e_{n+1}^{n+1},
$$

(2) 
$$
\sum_{j=1}^{n} |\beta_j^n| \leq 1, \text{ and}
$$

(3) 
$$
\left\| \sum_{j=1}^{n} \alpha_{j} e_{j}^{n} \right\| = \max |\alpha_{j}| \text{ for any scalars } (\alpha_{j}).
$$

Now pick a set  $\{A_i^n: 1 \le i \le k_n; n = 1, 2, \cdots\}$  of non-empty open and closed subsets of the Cantor set  $\Delta$  to satisfy

(4)  $k_1 = 1; k_{n+1} = 2k_n + 1$  for  $n > 1$ ,

$$
(5) \hspace{1cm} A_1^1 = \Delta,
$$

(6) 
$$
A_i^n = A_{2i-1}^{n+1} \bigcup A_{2i}^{n+1} \text{ for } 1 \leq i < k_n,
$$

(7) 
$$
A_{k_n}^n = A_{2k_n-1}^{n+1} \bigcup A_{2k_n}^{n+1} \bigcup A_{k_{n+1}}^{n+1},
$$

(8) 
$$
\{A_i^n : 1 \le i \le k_n\} \text{ is pairwise disjoint,}
$$

(9)  $\{A_i^n: 1 \le i \le k_n, n = 1, 2, \cdots\}$  is a base for the topology on  $\Delta$ .

We identify a subset of  $\Delta$  with its characteristic function. Setting  $F_n$  $=$  Span  $\{A_i^n: 1 \le i \le k_n\}$ , we have from (9) that  $\bigcup F_n$  is dense in  $C(\Delta)$ . Now the theorem will follow if we can define a linear operator  $T: \bigcup F_n \to \bigcup E_n$  so that T maps the unit ball of  $\bigcup F_n$  onto the unit ball of  $\bigcup E_n$ , for then the continuous extension of T to  $C(\Delta)$  will be a quotient mapping of  $C(\Delta)$  onto X.

We define T by induction. Let  $T \alpha A_1^1 = \alpha e_1^1$  for each scalar  $\alpha$ . Suppose that T has been defined on  $F_n$  so that  $T(\text{Ball } F_n) = \text{Ball}(E_n)$ . Write  $T A_i^n = \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j^i e_j^n$ for  $1 \leq i \leq k_n$ . Now  $\|\sum_{i=1}^{k_n} \gamma_i A_i^n\| = 1$  for all choices of signs  $\gamma_i = \pm 1$ , and  $||T|| = 1$ , so from (3) it follows that

(10) 
$$
\sum_{i=1}^{k_n} |\alpha_j^i| \leq 1 \text{ for each } 1 \leq j \leq n.
$$

Extend T to  $F_{n+1}$  by defining

(11) 
$$
T A_{2i-1}^{n+1} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \alpha_j^{i} e_j^{n+1} \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq k_n,
$$

(12) 
$$
T A_{2i}^{n+1} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \beta_j^n \alpha_j^i e_{n+1}^{n+1} \text{ for } 1 \leq i < k_n,
$$

$$
T A_{2k_n}^{n+1} = 2^{-1} \left( \sum_{j=1}^n \beta_j^n \alpha_j^{k_n} - \left[ 1 - \sum_{i=1}^{k_n-1} \left| \sum_{j=1}^n \beta_j^n \alpha_j^i \right| \right] \right) e_{n+1}^{n+1},
$$

 $(13)$ 

$$
T A_{k_{n+1}}^{n+1} = 2^{-1} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{n} \beta_j^{n} \alpha_j^{k_n} + \left[ 1 - \sum_{i=1}^{k_n-1} \left| \sum_{j=1}^{n} \beta_j^{n} \alpha_j^{i} \right| \right] \right) e_{n+1}^{n+1},
$$

and extending T linearly to  $F_{n+1}$ . (Of course, to make sure that this new definition of T agrees with the old definition on  $F_n$ , one must verify that

$$
T A_{2i-1}^{n+1} + T A_{2i}^{n+1} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \alpha_j^{i} e_j^{n} (1 \leq i < k_n)
$$

and

$$
T A_{2k_{n-1}}^{n+1} + T A_{2k_{n}}^{n+1} + T A_{k_{n+1}}^{n+1} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \alpha_{j}^{k_{n}} e_{j}^{n}
$$

We omit this trivial computation.)

Suppose A is an extreme point of the unit ball of  $F_{n+1}$ , say  $A = \sum_{i=1}^{k_{n+1}} \gamma_i A_i^{n+1}$ with  $\gamma_i = \pm 1$  for  $1 \leq i \leq k_{n+1}$ . Write  $T A = \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \Delta_j e_j^{n+1}$ . Then from (10) and (11) it follows that for  $1 \leq j \leq n$ ,  $|\Delta_j| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{k_n} |\alpha_j^i| \leq 1$ . Now if  $\gamma_{k_{n+1}} = \gamma_{2k_n}$  we have from  $(12)$  and  $(13)$  the estimate

$$
\left|\Delta_{n+1}\right| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{k_n-1} \left|\sum_{j=1}^n \beta_j^n \alpha_j^i\right| + \left|\sum_{j=1}^n \beta_j^n \alpha_j^{k_n}\right|
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq \sum_{j=1}^n \left|\beta_j^n\right| \sum_{i=1}^{k_n} \left|\alpha_j^i\right|
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq \sum_{j=1}^n \left|\beta_j^n\right| \text{ by (10)}\n\leq 1 \text{ by (2).}
$$

If  $\gamma_{k_{n+1}} = -\gamma_{2k_n}$  we have from (12) and (13) that

$$
\left|\Delta_{n+1}\right| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{k_n-1} \left|\sum_{j=1}^n \beta_j^n \alpha_j^i\right| + 1 - \sum_{i=1}^{k_n-1} \left|\sum_{j=1}^n \beta_j^n \alpha_j^i\right| = 1.
$$

200

Thus by (3),  $||T|| \le 1$  on  $F_{n+1}$ .

Finally, suppose x is in the unit ball of  $E_{n+1}$ ,

$$
\text{say } x = \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \alpha_i e_i^{n+1} \text{ with } |\alpha_i| \leq 1.
$$

By our inductive hypothesis there is  $B \in Ball$   $F_n$  satisfying  $T B = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i e_i$ say  $B = \sum_{i=1}^{k_n} \gamma_{2i-1} A_i$ ."Thus by (11)  $T \sum_{i=1}^{k_n} \gamma_{2i-1} A_{2i-1}^{n+1} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i e_i^{n+1}$ . Now set

$$
\gamma_{2i} = \alpha_{n+1} \operatorname{sgn} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{n} \beta_j^n \alpha_j^i \right) (1 \leq i < k_n)
$$
\n
$$
\gamma_{2k_n} = -\alpha_{n+1}, \ \gamma_{k_{n+1}} = \alpha_{n+1}.
$$

Then  $|\gamma_i| \leq 1$  for each i and

$$
T\sum_{i=1}^{k_{n+1}}\gamma_i A_i^{n+1}=x.
$$

Thus T Ball  $F_{n+1}$  = Ball  $E_{n+1}$ . This completes the inductive construction of T and the proof of the theorem.

## **3. Proof of the** corollary

(i) Since the restriction of  $T$  to some separable subspace of  $X$  is not weakly compact and since every separable subspace of  $X$  is contained in a larger subspace which is itself an  $L_1$  predual, we may assume that X is separable. Let  $Q: C(\Delta) \rightarrow X$ be a quotient map. Then  $Q^*$  is an isometry, hence  $Q^*T^* = (TQ)^*$  is not weakly compact, whence *TQ* is not weakly compact. By a result of Pełczyński [5] there is a subspace Y of  $C(\Delta)$  with Y isomorphic to  $c_0$  and  $TQ_{1Y}$  an isomorphism, thus  $W = QY$  has the desired property.

(ii) follows in a similar fashion from Rosenthal's theorem  $\lceil 6 \rceil$  that if S is a operator from  $C(\Delta)$  such that S<sup>\*</sup> has non-separable range, then there is a subspace Y of  $C(\Delta)$  such that  $S_{1Y}$  is an isomorphism.

### **REFERENCES**

1. Y. Benyamini and J. Lindenstrauss, *A predual of*  $l_1$  which is not isomorphic to a  $C(K)$ *apace,* **Israel J, Math. 13 (1972),** 246-254.

2. A. J. Lazar and J. Lindenstrauss, *On Banach spaces whose duals are LI spaces,* Israel J. Math. 4 (1966), 205-207.

3. J. Lindenstrauss and A. Pelcyzński, *Absolutely summing operators in*  $\mathscr{L}_n$ *-spaces and their applications,* Studia Math. 29 (I 968), 275-326.

4. E. A. Michael and A. Pełcyzński, *Separable Banach spaces which admit*  $l_n^{\infty}$ --approxima *tions,* Israel J. Math. 4 (1966), 189-198.

5. A. Petczyfiski, *Projections in certain Banach spaces,* Studia Math. 19 (1960), 209-228.

6. H. P. Rosenthal, *On factors of* C[0,1] *with nonseparable dual,* Israel J. Math. 13 (1972), 361-378.

THE OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY COLUMBUS, OHIO, U.S.A.

THE HEBREW UNIVERSITY OF JERUSALEM JERUSALEM ISRAEL

AND

THE OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY COLUMBUS, OHIO, U.S.A.